Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/073,204	NAMBA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert M. Pond	3625
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Robert M. Pond.	(3)	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 July 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 second		
Claims discussed: 51		
Prior art documents discussed: Ginter		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Robert M. Pond/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625	1	
(A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached that claims 48-52 pertain to the second embodiment disclosed by the instant specification. While it appears that subject matter disclosed in the second embodiment may be potentially allowable, it was concluded by both parties that for reasons cited below, the claims could not be examined on the merits of the claimed subject matter.

Specific to method claim 51, claim elements have been partitioned according to devices thereby losing continuity in method flow making the claim impractical to examine. Applicants are claiming various content (e.g. a content, specifying a content) which appear to be different content. Applicants are claiming circulated and un-circulated content. While the instant specification discusses circulated content, un-circulated content is lacking support in the instant specification. Applicants' disclose purchased and non-purchased content.